UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,907	12/15/2005	Philip Course	72882-011 (WRAJ-001US)	2915
	7590	EXAMINER		
28 STATE STR		PERRY, LINDA C		
BOSTON, MA 02109-1775			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,907	COURSE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LINDA PERRY	3695
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr ute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the second Theorem 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ: Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to Application No. 10519907 filed 12/28/2004.
 Claims 1-26 were considered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-14, drawn to methods and a system for electronically transacting a trade between a commercial provider and a customer of a merchant, and for electronically paying all of the participants involved with enabling the transaction from the payment made by the customer in consideration of the trade, classified in class 705, subclass 35.

Group II, claims 21-25, drawn to a system for electronically transacting a trade between a commercial provider and a customer of a merchant, and for electronically paying all of the participants involved with enabling the transaction from the payment made by the customer in consideration of the trade, classified in class 705, subclass 35.

Art Unit: 3695

Group III, claim(s) 15 and 16-20, drawn to systems for electronically transacting a trade, classified in class 705, subclass 35

Group IV, claim 26, drawn to a host transaction engine, classified in class 700, subclass 1.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. Invention I discusses methods comprising steps of entering into agreements, transacting, and using an electronic payment system; invention II names some system components which may be used to effect these steps; thus they are usable together but are not variants of each other and do not overlap in scope. Invention III, however, is merely a system to "electronically transact a trade"; it is thus a generalized system and effects only one of the two purposes of either Invention I or Invention II; it is thus not an obvious variant of either I or II and does not necessarily overlap in scope with either I or II, as it may effect the purpose in an entirely different

Application/Control Number: 10/519,907 Page 4

Art Unit: 3695

way. Invention IV is merely a host transaction engine and may be used with any of the Inventions I, II, and II, but is again not an obvious variant of and does not overlap in scope with any of Inventions I, II, and III, but is usable with each of them. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. Each of the inventions may be used (separately) without any of the others. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.
- 4. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

Application/Control Number: 10/519,907 Page 5

Art Unit: 3695

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3695

the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINDA PERRY whose telephone number is (571)270-1466. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Kyle can be reached on 571 272 6746. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,907 Page 7

Art Unit: 3695

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR)

/Linda Perry/ Linda Perry Examiner, Art Unit 3695

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

21 May 2009.

/Charles R. Kyle/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3695